

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/003,490	11/01/2001	François Serge Nicolas	15-XZ-5547	7319
7:	590 02/25/2004		EXAMINER	
Joseph M. Bar	rich		THOMAS, CO	OURTNEY D .
	leld & Malloy, Ltd.		ADTIBUT	DADED MUMPED
34th Floor			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
500 W. Madison Street			2882	
Chicago, IL 6	50661		DATE MAILED: 02/25/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Advisory Action	10/003,490	NICOLAS ET AL.				
,,	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Courtney Thomas	2882				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
THE REPLY FILED 13 January 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.						
PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]						
 a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection. b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f). 						
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The dathave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extensions of CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three moleaned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	sion and the corresponding amount of the statutory period for reply originally set in	fee. The appropriate extension the final Office action; or (2) as	n fee under set forth in			
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.						
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:						
(a) ☑ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);						
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);						
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or						
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.						
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.						
 3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment 						
canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 5. The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the						
application in condition for allowance because: 6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly						
raised by the Examiner in the final rejection. 7.⊠ For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment			an			
explanation of how the new or amended claims w	•	ow or appended.				
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:						
Claim(s) allowed:						
Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-35</u> .						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner.						
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)						
10. Other:						
	(A	DWARD LELICK				
		SORY PAPENT EXAMIN	ER			

Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303) 10/003,490

Application No.

Continuation of 2. NOTE: Amended claims attempt to distinguish method features of the present invention over the art of record (Klautsz - U.S. Patent 4,633,494 and Smith U.S. Patent 6,282,264). In particular, amended claims now recite: a) a method wherein an analyzing step is performed automatically by a computer algorithm and b) wherein the processing step includes automatically processing a low-dose pre-shot image to provide imaging parameters. This proposed amendments require further search and consideration to determine novelty over the aforementioned art of record.